

Disposition of Comments for a Draft Recommendation Review Period

Document or Set Title: Identity Assurance Framework: US Federal Privacy Profile

Document Status: Recommendation

Originating Work Group: Identity Assurance Work Group

Comment Review Period Closing Dates: 20 September 2010

Submitted to Leadership:

Leadership Council Comments:

Reference Key: US_FPP_20SEPT_#01

| Reference # | Comment Submitted | WG Resolution |
|-------------------|---|--|
| US_FPP_20SEPT_#01 | <p>1. Line 55 “No requirements found ...” It is entirely unclear what this sentence means. Please restate this in a positive sense so we know who/what these requirements DO apply to.</p> | <p>Language updated: <i>The requirements found in the IAF SAC and this Profile apply only to CSPs, not to Relying Party Applications (RPs).</i></p> <p>Disposition: Addressed</p> |
| US_FPP_20SEPT_#02 | <p>2. Lines 80-85 Please rewrite this in English. Use multiple simple sentences. This is a one-sentence paragraph that is not intelligible.</p> | <p>Language updated to address comment 02 & 03:</p> <p><i>If Subjects are allowed to establish a continuing approval or denial for release of certain attributes, for example to avoid being asked anew each time, then there must be some mechanism by which an Identity Subject can alter or withdraw any of those established preferences.</i></p> <p><i>Note: CSPs are not</i></p> |

| | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p><i>expected to provide such a mechanism for attribute-level opt- out for Identity Subjects when the Identity Subject is engaging with a government application on behalf of their employer or university. However, the attributes required by the RP application to complete the transaction must be pre-arranged by policy agreed to between the CSP and the RP well in advance of the transaction and must comply with section 2.3 below.</i></p> <p>Disposition: Addressed</p> |
| <p>US_FPP_20SEPT_#03</p> | <p>3. Add a section at line 86 or line 93 “Revocation – There must be a supported mechanism by which an identity subject can alter or withdraw a previously submitted informed consent.”</p> | <p>See resolution stated above in comment 02.</p> <p>Disposition: Addressed</p> |
| <p>US_FPP_20SEPT_#04</p> | <p>4. Line 139 Add an acronym key to the end of the document</p> | <p>Acronym key added:</p> <p><i>Acronyms Used in this Document</i></p> <p>CSP <i>Credential Service Provider</i></p> <p>IAF <i>Identity Assurance Framework</i></p> <p>ICAM <i>Identity, Credentialing, and Access Management</i></p> <p>PII <i>Personally Identifiable Information</i></p> |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | <p><i>SAC Service Assessment Criteria</i></p> <p><i>US United States</i></p> <p><i>WG Working Group</i></p> <p>Disposition: Addressed</p> |
| US_FPP_20SEPT_#05 | 5. Make TFET link a live link (in the intro). | <p>Link updated to live link in the document.</p> <p>Disposition: Addressed</p> |
| US_FPP_20SEPT_#06 | 5. Per list discussion, remove sec 2.9 | <p>Section 2.9 removed.</p> <p>Disposition: Addressed</p> |