

2017-11-30 Meeting notes (CR)

Date

2017-10-26

Status of Minutes

Approved

Approved at: [2019-12-12 Meeting notes \(CR\) DRAFT](#)

Attendees

Voting

- [Andrew Hughes](#)
- Mark Lizar
- Jim Pasquale
- John Wunderlich
- Mary Hodder

Non-Voting

- Chris Cooper
- David Turner
- Robert Lapes
- Colin Wallis

Regrets

Quorum Status

Meeting was quorate

Voting participants

[Participant Roster \(2016\)](#) - Quorum is 5 of 9 as of 2017-11-20

Iain Henderson, Mary Hodder, Harri Honko, Mark Lizar, Jim Pasquale, John Wunderlich, Andrew Hughes, Rupert Graves, Rachel O'Connell

Discussion Items

| Time | Item | Who | Notes |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| 4 mins | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Roll call• Agenda bashing | Andrew Hughes | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• |
| 1 min | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Organization updates | All | <p>Please review these blogs offline for current status on Kantara and all the DG/WG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Director's Corner• Working + Discussion Group Activity <p>There is a new wiki page that will hold all the known implementations of Consent Receipts - Please update the page or inform Andrew of your implementation.</p> |

| | | | |
|--------|-----------------------|-----|---|
| 30 min | Recent events updates | All | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kuppinger Cole event in Paris went very well <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-conference workshop • Facebook seems very interested in the transparency aspects • Colin is seeking additional speakers for the Singapore event - branch office contacts, etc? • Mark talked about the January 29, 2018 international privacy event that is in planning stages |
| | UMA WG joins the call | All | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eve outlined the joint agenda • CIS WG described current status of the work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the v1.1 draft has passed WG ballot and is getting ready for 45-day public review now • there are several known implementations • David described some of the technical details of the spec • there is a loose roadmap going forward <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contribution to ISO • Forking a 'personal data privacy receipt' concept • Further development for specific use cases • UMA WG Presented on current status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UMA v2.0 is at all-member ballot stage right now • In UMA 1 there was 'core' plus 'resource set registration' - but it was a bit of a fragment • UMA 2.0 is 2 documents ('Grant' and 'Federated Authorization') - different reorganization of the content from v1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UMA (core) is now written an extension grant of OAuth - a thin layer on top of OAuth - easier for OAuth developers to use • Fed Authz is now an 'optional module' of UMA v2 • Read the introductions to learn about what each doc covers • UMA extension now allows an asynchronous access policy - defining conditions for a future requesting party to meet. OAuth today is a synchronous access policy - when you go to grant access the user must permit or deny immediately <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note that UMA conceives of the Authorization Server to be distinct from the Resource Server. Also the Resource Owner is a different entity from the Requesting Party. • Eve describes it as similar to granting access to Google docs • UMA github has a 'shoebox' endpoint bunch of issues where 'receipts' and other notifications can be posted <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What can be proven with an audit trail? • The consent receipt is based on research into privacy compliance commonality - notice and consent are the most frequent point of commonality with respect to transparency <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It captures the notice requirements for consent • Note that in the regulations, there is no real concept for person-person data protection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • But the 'licensing' concept in UMA Legal is the groundbreaking aspect here - it allows for a person-person concept • Consentua's platform allows a business to plug in and get data from a person <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a shift in regulation so that the person 'owns' the data, not the business • Adoption is driven by commercial need - has to be easy to consume and allow engineers to build the tools for this new orientation • Could the UMA AS be a place to 'send' receipts? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 'shoebox API' • Andrew starts to talk about role mapping between 'data controller & data processor & data subject' language from CR to 'Resource Owner, Resource Server, Requesting Party, Authorization Server' of UMA • Andrew asked if we could look at a use case where the Resource is Personal Data? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eve proposed the Origo use case (pensions data) • Andrew posits that when a data subject and data controller agree on a data access or transfer, the data controller should be prepared to issue a consent receipt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eve proposes a 'role state transition matrix' • Whenever a data subject and data controller come to agreement, a receipt should be issued |

- **Discussion**

- The link to the UMA WG [UMA telecon 2017-11-30](#)