

# Workstream Foci

This page sets out:

- the 'framework' charter work items proposed on the formation of the WG,
- a list of member-proposed work items derived from the Group's initial weeks of discussion,
- a number of shorter-term deliverables driven by external events (e.g. responses to consultation).

The three sets of items are complementary, not exclusive.

- [CONSENT & ANTI-PATTERNS](#)
- [MODEL PRIVACY POLICY](#)
- [Potential Work Items - Detailed Descriptions](#)
- [PRIVACY RISK ASSESSMENT](#)

## POLL "Candidate Work Items"

	*Rosetta Stone* document (Charter Item)	Privacy Module for IAF (Charter Item)	Privacy Management Framework (Charter Item)	Privacy Risk Assessment worksheet	Standard privacy policy elements	Enhancing Certificate/Signature Semantics	Consent and Anti-patterns	Identifiers in public sector e-procurement	Collab with IAWG on Levels of Protection and Privacy Agreements	Position paper on 'Space/Time data and Identity'	Managing liaison with ISO SC27 and other standards bodies	Proactive privacy scan of other KI WGs	White Paper on Assurance Levels in (US) Government	Observatory on Identity/Policy initiatives
Trent Adams		(OK)	(OK)											
Jeff Stollman		(OK)	(OK)	OK	(OK)				(OK)	(OK)	(OK)		(OK)	
Iain Henderson			OK		OK		(OK)		(OK)	(OK)				
Susan Landau		(OK)	(OK)											
Colin Soutar					(OK)				(OK)		(OK)			(OK)
Robin Wilton	OK	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)		OK	(OK)	OK		(OK)	(OK)	(OK)		
Brett McDowell	OK	OK	OK	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	OK	OK	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)
Mark Lizar		(OK)	OK							(OK)	(OK)	(OK)		(OK)
Rich Furr	OK	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	OK	OK	(OK)	(OK)	OK	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	OK	OK
Darrell Shull	(OK)	(OK)	OK	OK	OK	(OK)	(OK)	(OK)	OK		(OK)	(OK)		
Count	3:1:6	1:7:2	4:5:1	2:3:5	3:3:4	2:2:6	0:5:5	2:2:6	3:3:4	0:6:4	0:7:3	0:5:5	1:2:7	1:3:6

## Charter Items

### White papers and framework documents such as:

- Privacy Guidance Document to bridge the gap between CPO, technical and policymaker communities, their perspectives and language (suggestion from Iain, this should include CMO and digital lead - they are at the sharp end on issues like behavioural tracking)
- Privacy Management Framework
- Privacy Assurance Module to complement Identity Assurance Framework

### Stakeholder/outreach activities such as:

- Regular advisory event to solicit strategic guidance
- face-to-face Work Group meetings
- CPO and policymaker workshops

(subject to budget)

## Member-proposed Items

[Model Privacy Policy](#)

[Consent and Anti-Patterns](#)

[Privacy Risk Assessment](#)

Privacy Assurance Framework to accompany Identity Assurance Framework during certification audits (likely to be need flexibility to address requirements of different jurisdictions)

Support for ISO SC27 activities

Enhancing Certificate Semantics (DIFI)

Identifiers in Public-Sector e-Procurement (DIFI)

Acting as counter-point and reviewer of individual-driven information sharing agreements (as will be developed by the Information Sharing Work Group), with a view to ultimately having inter-operable, machine readable, icon-enabled privacy and individual-driven information sharing policies.

## **Leadership-Council-proposed Items**

1. Eve: As illuminated by the GSA/ICAM Identity Trust Framework, perhaps the P3WG could explore the idea of "Levels of Protection" and "Privacy Policy Agreements", perhaps coordinating with IAWG.

2. Joe: Following the work of Jeff Jonas about the privacy concerns related to "Space Time Travel" [2](#), perhaps the P3WG could consider authoring a white paper addressing related privacy issues.

3. Brett: How to manage liaisons with other external bodies with respect to privacy standards (eg. privacy maturity capability model at ISO/IEC 29190) [3](#)

4. Colin: Perhaps the P3WG could provide a proactive scan across KI WGs to look for various privacy issues and raise flags.

5. Bob: Perhaps the P3WG could explore producing a white paper on what kind of assurance levels could be assigned to various government operations (presumably focused on the U.S. government).

6. Brett: A useful tool would be a published and maintained list of key international public policy debates on Identity (i.e. who is doing what work where).

## **Short-term responses**

FTC December 09 privacy workshop response

"Madrid Declaration" position statement

EU consultation response

Scottish Parliament privacy consultation response