

Meeting Minutes 16 October 2019

Kantara FI-WG Teleconference

[Approved on December 4 2019 call](#) | [Date and Time](#) | [Attendees](#) | [Agenda](#) | [Minutes](#) | [Next Meeting](#)

Approved on December 4 2019 call

Date and Time

- **Date:** Wednesday, October 16, 2019
- **Time:** 16:30 EDT

Attendees

- Keith Wessel (co-chair) (v)
- Alan Buxey (v)
- Scott Cantor (v)
- Walter Hoehn (v)
- Nick Roy (v)
- Eric Goodman

Agenda

1. Roll call (QV [group participation agreement](#))
2. Agenda bash
3. Approval of [9/18 meeting minutes](#)
4. Review of Scott's edits to SDP-SP23 sent to the list on 10/15
5. Possible confusion around wording of SDP-G02 and Nick's [proposed change](#)

Minutes

1. Quorum achieved
2. Agenda looks good
3. Approval of [9/18 meeting minutes](#)
 - a. Alan motioned
 - b. Walter seconds
 - c. Minutes approved
4. Review of Scott's edits to SDP-SP23 sent to the list on 10/15
 - a. Removing the reference was appropriate
 - b. Shorter - that seemed good
 - c. Not sure about references to other work so did not add any
 - d. Might want to consider changing the word 'some' to 'most' under discovery description.
 - e. Could put 'in many cases' and not try to quantify it
 - f. Less aspirational than a way of telling people that they're doing it wrong.
 - g. Anyone unhappy with what's been presented?
 - h. Scott would maybe rethink the wording in the normative requirement: We understand that it's self-evident.
 - i. Implementation profile calls out idpdisco as a MUST
 - j. Seems like not a drop-able item, and wording seems good.
 - k. Wording complements the implementation profile.
 - l. No misgivings - we have rough consensus.
 - m. **AI:** Scott commit - DONE
5. Possible confusion around wording of SDP-G02 and Nick's [proposed change](#)
 - a. REFEDS assurance list discussion - Pål and Eskil
 - b. Put to rest with the minor wording tweak?
 - i. ...deployments MUST limit the size of each individual XML element's and attribute's text value they produce to 256 characters
 - c. References content rather than making it clear you're talking about string content. "XML attribute content"
 - d. We have a recommendation
 - e. Hard to be confused by the existing wording
 - f. Discussion of which elements/attributes this applies to
 - g. IDP-19 caused similar confusion
 - h. This will be clearer if we are allowed to have FAQs. OASIS didn't used to allow that.
 - i. To define versus to not define via xs:String. Injects a level of understand of XML into the doc that is probably best avoided in this case. Could make it more confusing/lead to more pedantic complaints.
 - j. **AI:** Scott going to come up with a suggestion offline.
 - k. We aren't going to be able to make it completely bullet-proof.
6. Next call: November 6, 2019. Waiting to hear back from Colin on process.
7. SP22 language needs to be moved up - italicized text should have been moved up under SP21.
 - a. Seems right
 - b. Scott will do at same time as other edits.
8. eduGAIN slack channel comment:
 - a. Pål - SDP-SP39: SP requirement SDP-MD10:Common requirements - why SP logos a MUST? Not an error on our part. There for *reasons*.

Next Meeting

- **Date:** Weds, Nov 06, 2019
- **Time:** 16:30 EDT
- **Code:** <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/110596309>
- You can also dial in using your phone.

United States: +1 (669) 224-3318

Access Code: 110-596-309

More phone numbers

Australia: +61 2 8355 1038

Austria: +43 1 2530 22500

Belgium: +32 28 93 7002

Canada: +1 (647) 497-9380

Denmark: +45 32 72 03 69

Finland: +358 923 17 0556

France: +33 170 950 590

Germany: +49 692 5736 7300

Ireland: +353 15 360 756

Italy: +39 0 230 57 81 80

Netherlands: +31 207 941 375

New Zealand: +64 9 282 9510

Norway: +47 21 93 37 37

Spain: +34 932 75 1230

Sweden: +46 853 527 818

Switzerland: +41 225 4599 60

United Kingdom: +44 330 221 0097

NOTE: Do not follow the code with a "#" symbol as it may cause the code not to be recognized.