

# 2018-12-20 Meeting notes (CR) DRAFT

## Date

2018-12-20

## Status of Minutes

DRAFT

Approved at: <<Insert link to minutes showing approval>>

## Attendees

### Voting

- [Andrew Hughes](#)
- Jim Pasquale
- Oscar Santolalla
- Mark Lizar
- Paul Knowles

### Non-Voting

- Robert Mitwicki
- David Turner
- Jan Lindquist
- Colin Wallis

## Regrets

## Quorum Status

Meeting was <<<>>> quorate

## Voting participants

[Participant Roster \(2016\)](#) - Quorum is 6 of 11 as of 2018-11-19

Iain Henderson, Mary Hodder, Harri Honko, Mark Lizar, Jim Pasquale (C), John Wunderlich (VC), Andrew Hughes (VC), Oscar Santolalla, Richard Gomer, Paul Knowles, Samantha Zirkin

## Discussion Items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
4 mins	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Roll call</li><li>• Agenda bashing</li></ul>	<a href="#">Andrew Hughes</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Discuss approach to specification updates</li></ul>

5 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organization updates</li> </ul>	All	<p>Please review these blogs offline for current status on Kantara and all the DG/WG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Director's Corner: <a href="#">2018: November</a></li> <li><a href="#">Work + Discussion Group Activity</a></li> </ul> <p>There is a wiki page that will hold all the known <a href="#">implementations of Consent Receipts</a> - Please update the page or inform Andrew of your implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>TIIME, Vienna, February</li> <li>EIC, Munich, May</li> <li>Identiverse, Washington, June</li> </ul>
10 min	Product roadmap for the demo	All	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Target is EIC May 2019</li> <li><b>Decisions needed:</b></li> <li>The specific set of user stories we want to showcase</li> </ul>
30 min	Specification update approach	All	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discovery approach leading to backlog leading to prioritization?</li> <li>How do we decide what changes we must do in this round versus deferrable changes?</li> <li>Support for implementation functions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>x</li> </ul> </li> <li>Structural changes for ease of receipt processing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note that because the v.next receipt specification is net new - so 'breaking changes' probably means that v.next is not backwards-compatible with v1.1</li> <li>x</li> </ul> </li> <li>Direct support for interoperable exchange of receipt data <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data integrity features, etc</li> <li>Note: this category shares many topics and issues with the Schemas/Overlays work</li> <li>x</li> </ul> </li> <li>Recommendations and guidance for specific fields/values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>x</li> </ul> </li> <li>Document family structure for extensions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>x</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>See a flowchart version of this here:</p> <p><a href="https://share.mindmanager.com/#publish/b-DWOcuKGnVY1PXBKXTP0-DQOeqmZMGfGUAPiC5">https://share.mindmanager.com/#publish/b-DWOcuKGnVY1PXBKXTP0-DQOeqmZMGfGUAPiC5</a></p>
	AOB		<p>Paul - next SSI task is to build a 'consent schema' - 20 attributes so far - will circulate for review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues about Purpose for data processing are not included at this time - the schema is more about the mechanical aspects of description of the data e.g. aspects of revocation</li> </ul> <p>Mark - liaison work</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lots of progress at W3C on vocabulary - GDPR-specific profile/extensions</li> <li>EU government group working on Taxonomy for people and businesses</li> <li>NIST "xpress rules", Healthcare IT, FHIR</li> <li>Should establish a bi-monthly or quarterly liaison information sharing call in 2019</li> </ul>
	Next meeting		<p><b>*** Next call 2019-01-10 10:30 am Eastern Standard Time / 15:30 GMT</b></p> <p><b>NO CALLS December 27 or January 3</b></p>

From earlier calls:

- Andrew has set up a github repo for next-version specification backlog items, including use cases: <https://github.com/KantaraInitiative/consent-receipt-v-next>
- Some possible items for next versions:
  - Structural changes to the spec including a hierarchy of objects that should improve high transaction volume
  - Integration/association of the new Blinding Identity Taxonomy into the CR Spec family (to inform implementers of potential data categories of interest)
    - See also this Data Categories infographic: <https://enterprivacy.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Categories-of-Personal-Information.pdf>
  - Recommendations for Customer Journey / UX / UI features
  - Library of industry-specific or case-specific Purpose categories and example Purpose statements
  - Expansion of Consent Types to allow for more than just Explicit Consent situations
  - (idea) Optional receipt metadata to assist privacy dashboards in organizing and processing 'bring forward' items (e.g. "remind me to check this share in 3 months")
  - digi.me product and management have identified six areas for development
    - consent over period of time (rather than instantaneous consent)
    - termination/modification of consent from either side
    - high transaction volume & low per-instance cost
    - how the 'receipt' fits into accounting systems infrastructures

- receipt as the basis for legal matters and actions
- UX/UI concerns
- for Clinical Trials uses, data holder is required to keep data for 10 years - need to consider longevity of the receipts to go alongside data holdings