

# IAWG Meeting Minutes 2014-11-13

## Kantara Initiative Identity Assurance WG Teleconference

[Date and Time](#) | [Agenda](#) | [Attendees](#) | [Minutes Approval](#) | [Staff Updates](#) | [Participant Updates](#) | [AOB](#) | [Carry-forward Items](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Next Meeting](#)

Meeting Minutes approved 2014-11-20

### Date and Time

- **Date:** Thursday, 2014-11-13
- **Time:** 12:00 PST | 15:00 EST | 20:00 UTC (Time chart - US Standard Time )
- United States Toll +1 (805) 309-2350  
Alternate Toll +1 (714) 551-9842  
**Skype: +9905100000481**
  - Conference ID: 613-2898
- [International Dial-In Numbers](#)

### Agenda

1. Administration:
  - a. Roll Call
  - b. Agenda Confirmation
  - c. Minutes approval: [IAWG Meeting Minutes 2014-10-23](#)
  - d. Staff reports and updates
2. Discussion
  - a. Review of joint TFP submission to FICAM TFS regarding criteria assignments to service provider roles.
3. AOB
  - a.
4. Adjourn

### Attendees

[Link to IAWG Roster](#)

As of 2014-09-29, quorum is 8 of 14

Use the Info box below to record the meeting quorum status

Meeting achieved quorum

### Voting

- 
- Rich Furr ( C)
- Andrew Hughes (S)
- Ken Dagg
- Matt Thompson
- Cathy Tilton
- Devin Kusek
- Scott Shorter

- Richard Wilsher
- 

## Non-Voting

- 
- Björn Sjöholm
- Peter Alterman
- Lee Aber (ID.me)

## Staff

- Joni Brennan

## Regrets

- None

## Notes & Minutes

### Administration

#### Minutes Approval

[IAWG Meeting Minutes 2014-10-23](#)

Motion to approve minutes of 2014-11-13: Shorter  
Seconded: Kusek  
Discussion: None  
Motion Carried

#### Staff Updates

- Events
  - IRM Conference - well attended
  - CA World
  - PII2014
- Board of Trustees has done a review of policies and procedures - plan to work with LC to update/refine
- OpenUMA is now live - hosted at ForgeRock
- Presidential Executive Order in October directed at Federal agencies requirement to plan for and implement MFA

#### Participant Updates

- ID.me announcement: ID.me has chosen a new VP of Security and Risk Management: Lee Aber
  - Strong background, great contacts

## Discussion

- FICAM TFPAP v2.1 split the CSP into several roles
- This work is a response to FICAM TFS on the TFP proposal on how to map TFPAP requirements to those new roles
- This should help to ensure alignment and cross-recognition between TFP applicants and approved service providers
  - i.e. If two different approved service components are approved by different FICAM TFPs, they should be allowed to apply for FICAM approval directly
  - The assessor would only have to examine the 'glue' requirements that are not part of one or the other role
- Review the "FICAM Requirements Spreadsheet for IAWG Processing 111214.xls"
  - This work serves the immediate needs for FICAM TFS and the TFPs - there are longer term objectives still to be addressed

- Comment: the requirements on the T M tab appear to be related to components other than the T M
- Question: are there requirements that applied to both IM and T M
  - A: The terms in the text were updated to the new meanings before mapping
- Comment: This analysis is being done in the context of Kantara and SAFEBioPharma only. FICAM TFS would need to incorporate this into future versions of TFPAP to have best effect.
- Comment: The next step is for the TFPs to map their own criteria to this component mapping
  - One approach: from the bottom up, the 'Receiving' assessor should act as the glue & use the already-assessed criteria as input into the final assessment of the combined entity (and so would not double-assess any entity)
    - i.e. from the full list of criteria, the assessor should exclude those criteria that are already in scope for the Service Component Approval from the other TFP
  - Another approach: from the top down, once approved by FICAM as a service component, by definition that SC's policies and practices are equivalent/comparable under any other FICAM Approved TFP
  - In either case, FICAM must be satisfied that the applicant meets the FICAM TFS TFPAP requirements in total
- Comment: There is a difference between an IM satisfying FICAM requirements versus satisfying a TFP's requirements
- The key point is that FICAM Approval is against the TFPAP list of Trust Criteria - not the individual TFPs criteria
- Downside - the spreadsheet only applies to FICAM Approvals
  - Kantara has criteria that go beyond FICAM Trust Criteria
  - If Kantara is the only TFP, it uses its full range of criteria
  - If a Service Component is accepted from another TFP, there's no certainty that all Kantara criteria have been satisfied - only those criteria that meet FICAM criteria
- This distinction might form part of a Kantara roadmap activity to extend more globally
- Note that this exercise does not create a strictly-defined Profile since it excludes criteria rather than constraining
- Proposal: use email as the primary comment/feedback method; call an ad hoc meeting on Wednesday if needed
  - Plan to present to FICAM at Thursday November 20 monthly call
- Discussion about presentation format ensued
- 

## AOB

## Carry-forward Items

## Attachments

## Next Meeting

- **Date:** Thursday, 2014-11-20
- **Time:** 12:00 PT | 15:00 ET | 20:00 UTC ([Time chart](#) - US Standard Time)
- United States Toll +1 (805) 309-2350
- Alternate Toll +1 (714) 551-9842
- **Skype:** **+99051000000481**
  - Conference ID: 613-2898
- [International Dial-In Numbers](#)